UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,241	11/03/2003	Wamis Singhatat	ZL 0192	3783
23367 GENE WARZI	7590 08/21/200° ECHA		EXAMINER	
LINVATEC CO	ORPORATION		SWIGER III, JAMES L	
11311 CONCEPT BOULEVARD LARGO, FL 33773			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/700,241	SINGHATAT, WAMIS				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	James L. Swiger	yith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		The solution of the solution o				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING In Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Teply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	<u>May 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-16,18-27 and 29-31 is/are possible. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5,7 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 9-16 and 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a corrective documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-14, 19-21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sklar (US Publication 2002/0055780).

Sklar discloses a graft retaining system having an encircling means (20) that at least partially encircles a graft and is positionable relative to an adjacent bone tunnel (10), and where once the graft is inserted, it is capable of going through a tortuous path as the encircling, or "ring," portion can angle with respect to the graft as it is pulled tight yielding a tortuous portion of the graft for the purpose of securing. Note the reference has poor drawings; refer to Pars. 0051 through 0052. Though the encircling means may also be a securing means, the portions 23, are alternatively considered the securing means. Screw 24 may also be a 'securing means' that has a pointed tip, and a fixation tab is 28. As mentioned, the ring may be moved to a second position, as it is operable when engaged with the graft when pulled and is in the 'belt buckle' fashion. The sharpened prong may be the tip of 24. The ring surround the lumen of the cannula (see Fig. 2). Sklar also disclose the at least the steps of providing the

Art Unit: 3733

encircling means, and positioning the means adjacent the bone tunnel (fig. 8a), and wherein the graft is forced into a tortuous path (considered the same as pulling the graft tight as mentioned in the reference for securing the graft within the encircling means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 18 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sklar in view of Strobel et al. (US Pub 2001/0007074). Sklar disclose the claimed invention except for a securing means comprising an interference screw, that may also be a second member, and an insertion tool and the step of using the tool. Strobel et al. disclose an interference screw (70), and an insertion tool (84) that can move the second member relative to the first and the step of using the tool to insert the screw to secure the device (see pars 0059 through 0064). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sklar having at least a securing means and an insertion tool in view of Strobel et al. to better use the device and secure the graft to the location desired.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sklar in view of Li et al. (US Patent 6,117,161). Sklar discloses the claimed

Art Unit: 3733

invention except for a bone engaging portion that specifically extends axially outwardly in one way and a bone fixation portion extending radially outwardly in also an opposing direction. Li et al. disclose a bone fixation portion that extends both ways, relative (see fig. 8) that is better for securing (See Col. 2, lines 37-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sklar having at least a bone engaging portion that extends axially outwardly in one way and a bone fixation portion extending radially outwardly in also an opposing direction in view of Lie et al. to better secure the device.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Sklar '780 and Strobel et al. '074 as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Li et al. The combination of Sklar and Strobel disclose the claimed invention except for a bone engaging portion that specifically extends axially outwardly in one way and a bone fixation portion extending radially outwardly in also an opposing direction. Li et al. disclose a bone fixation portion that extends both ways, relative (see fig. 8) that is better for securing (See Col. 2, lines 37-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of the combination of Sklar and Strobel et al. having at least a bone engaging portion that extends axially outwardly in one way and a bone fixation portion extending radially outwardly in also an opposing direction in view of Lie et al. to better secure the device.

Art Unit: 3733

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 9, it is still held that (such as in Fig. 8A) the first and second members may be engaged with one another. Because of the pins, the first and second members are responsive to each other's movements and would further be capable of securing a graft. Even in this setup, the first member still at least has a side wall surrounding a central lumen which would be capable of conforming when rotated.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-8 are allowed.

Claims 29-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 3733

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLS

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700